

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Influence of polyethylene pipe butt fusion welding in ultrasonic non-destructive testing

To cite this article: Bingwen An et al 2024 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 2822 012177

View the [article online](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012177) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Defect recognition and classification from](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012186) [ultrasonic phased array total focusing](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012186) [method imaging based on domain](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012186) [adaption](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012186)

Haibin Wang, Jingwei Cheng, Wei Chen et al.

- [Numerical studies of bubble pulsation in](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012151) [viscoelastic media under dual-frequency](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012151) **[ultrasound](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012151)** Yu Wang, Dehua Chen and Jiahui Li
- [The hydrodynamic noise suppression of](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012130) [the towed array by the combination of the](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012130) [flow and sustained release](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012130) Liu Yong-Wei, Kang Rong-Jun and Gu Chun-ting

This content was downloaded from IP address 119.17.137.198 on 24/11/2024 at 23:49

Influence of polyethylene pipe butt fusion welding in ultrasonic non-destructive testing

Bingwen AN^{1,2}, Ziqi LI^{1,2}, Feiran LI^{1,2}, Xuefeng FANG³, Xueping Jiang⁴, Tao **LENG1,2, Xianmei WU1,2***

¹ State Key Laboratory of Acoustics, Institute of Acoustics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³ Nanjing Boiler and Pressure Vessel Inspection $\&$ Research Institute, Nanjing 210019, China

⁴ Internet of Things Engineering Hohai University, Changzhou, Jiangsu, China

** Corresponding author, E-mail:* wuxm@mail.ioa.ac.cn

Abstract. Butt fusion welding is a commonly used manufacturing method for polyethylene pipes. To investigate the influence of butt fusion welding on oblique ultrasonic wave in ultrasonic non-destructive testing, a numerical simulation model was established for polyethylene pipe with welding joints. Wave propagation in the polyethylene pipe was analysed, which showed that there exist longitudinal and shear waves propagating and their mode conversion. Detection signals of pipes with different defects and different acoustic attenuations were calculated. The special structure of welding joint was then modelled. Results show that the signal response declines with acoustic attenuation coefficient. Butt welding joints, especially the inner joints, would affect waves propagation. Finally, the welding process of polyethylene pipes was also simulated. The influence of cooling time was discussed, which indicated that insufficient cooling time would cause thermal residual stress and then alter the ultrasonic signal.

1. Introduction

With the advantages of corrosion resistance, good sealing and long service time, polyethylene(PE) pipe has been widely used in gas, water transportation and nuclear power^[1-3]. The key technology affecting pipeline application is pipeline welding^[4]. When the nominal diameter is above 90 millimeter, the main connection method of $\hat{2}$ pipes is butt fusion welding^[5], whose convenient operation also helps site work. At present, polyethylene pipe is developing to the direction of large diameter and high pressure resistance, which provides more research topics for butt fusion welding.

In welding and manufacturing, transient thermal stress, residual stress or deformation would occur within the welded joints due to uneven heating, cooling or material variation^[6-7]. Their existence would affect the strength, stiffness and service life of the welded structures, then leading to losses in production and life safety. According to the investigations by relevant organizations in the United States^[8], 13% of failures in PE pipes are attributed to joint failures

As the earliest and a commonly used non-destructive testing technology, ultrasonic detecting technology has good adaptability on polyethylene pipes and been widely studied^[9-16]. The database has been preliminarily established $[17]$.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

At the same time, researches have been carried out on the failure mode and damage mechanism^[18-21] of welded joints, while they focus more on the generation of cracks. More detailed relationship between signal of NDT and joints could be explored further, such as the structure of joints and the welding process, which concerns with thermal simulation.

Through experimental and finite element simulation methods, $Gu^[22]$ conducted the first analysis of temperature and stress distribution during welding process. Based on this, Lv^[23] further deepens his study and gave a more detailed introduction of simulation. $He^{[24]}$, used orthogonal experimental methods to indicate that welding temperature, welding pressure and heating time are three significant factors influencing the mechanical properties of joint. Cooling time is often considered a minor factor, and there is limited research on it. However, experiments indicate that ultrasonic detection signals are notably different without sufficient cooling time.

In this paper, a mechanical model is established for analyzing the wave propagation in the PE pipe and the influence of the joint on ultrasonic detection signal. A thermal and viscoelastic based finite element model is created to simulate the welding process and explore the influence of cooling time.

2. Waves in PE Pipe

An oblique ultrasonic wave is incident on the pipe and might generate longitudinal wave(P wave) and shear wave(S wave), as shown in figure 1. A 2D finite element model was established for simulating ultrasonic non-destructive testing process. Considering the need of rapid detection and the tolerance of experimental conditions, the pitch-catch method was chosen. A transducer is located on the hypotenuse of a wedge, and the material of the wedge is polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), which is commonly used for steel pipe ultrasonic testing. The received signal would be extracted from different point of the model, as shown in figure 1. Besides a PE pipe model, a steel pipe model is also built for comparison. The physical field 'Elastic waves, time explicit' is used, in which absorbing layers are added on both ends of the pipe to avoid wave reflection.

Figure 1. Ultrasonic non-destructive testing model

From Snell's law $\frac{\sin(\mu_p)}{\cos(\mu_p)} = \frac{c_{p2}}{p^2}$ p1 $sin(\beta_n)$ $sin(\alpha)$ *c c* $\frac{(\beta_{p})}{(\alpha)} = \frac{c_{p2}}{c_{p1}}, \frac{\sin(\beta_{s})}{\sin(\alpha)} = \frac{c_{s2}}{c_{p1}}$ $sin(\beta_s)$ $sin(\alpha)$ *c c* $\frac{\beta_s}{\alpha} = \frac{c_{s2}}{c_{s1}}$, where α is the incident angle, β_p and β_s are the

refractive angle of longitudinal and shear wave, respectively. And the subscript 1 refers to PMMA wedge and 2 refers to the pipe.

Figure 2. Waves in (a) steel; and (b) PE pipe

Figure 2 shows ultrasonic wave propagation in PE and steel pipes, respectively. As the velocity of P and S waves in steel pipe are about 5880 m/s and 3230 m/s, and the velocity of P wave in wedge is about 2720 m/s. The P wave velocity of PMMA is smaller, it could propagate only S wave in the steel pipe by controlling the incident angle, such as $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ in this model. However, the velocities of P wave, 2110 m/s, and S wave, 860 m/s in PE pipe are smaller than the velocity of P wave in wedge. Thus there would always exist P wave and S wave propagating in the pipe, which is different from waves in steel pipe.

Figure 3. Waveforms of different receive point.

Signals of different points are plotted in figure 3. Ultrasonic wave would propagate not only from point A (midpoint of the bottom edge of the wedge) to C through P wave - P wave, but from another point B to C through P wave - S wave and S wave -P wave. From figure $3(a)$, velocities could be calculated through arrival time and distance, whose results are compared with theoretical values in table 1. The simulated results are in accordance with theoretical results.

	Theoretical (m/s)	Simulated (m/s)	Path
P wave in PMMA wedge 2716.5		2637.7	$A-D$
P wave in PE pipe	2114.2	2152.4	$A-C$
S wave in PE pipe	863.12	859.13	$B-C$

Table 1. Velocities in PMMA wedge and PE pipe.

3. The influence of defects, attenuation and joints

In this section, the influence of defect and attenuation of pipe on received signal are first described. Then the process of butt fusion welding is introduced, and the effect of joint is explored.

3.1. Defects

In actual welding, due to material properties, construction environment or other factors, defects in PE pipe joints are always irregular. Their sizes are large in one direction and small in other directions, thus it is suitable for using ellipses to approximate different defects.

Figure 4. Signals of Point C, when orientation of defect is: (a) vertical to the reflective P wave; (b) parallel to the reflective P wave

Two elliptical holes in same size but different orientations were created. They are vertical and parallel to the reflected P wave, respectively. Figure 4 shows the received signal at Point C, both two defects affect the waveform of received signals, especially when it is vertical to the ultrasonic wave.

3.2. Attenuation

In general, due to the existence of P wave and S wave, multi waves would propagate to C point. While due to the acoustic attenuation coefficient varies with pipe, amplitudes of each signal would be different, as shown in figure 5.

The larger the attenuation coefficient is, the smaller the amplitude of waves are. Sometimes the second wave even disappears.

Figure 5. Influence of attenuation of pipe

3.3. Butt fusion welding and influence of joints

The process of butt fusion welding contains four steps: preheat, heat, hand-off and cool period, as shown in figure 6. During preheat period, a plate is settled between 2 pipes whose ends are under pressure, and the temperature of the plate increases to specified value and would be held as constant during heat period. After that, the plate and pressure would be removed during hand-off period. Finally, two pipes would connect under the action of axial pressure before it cools to the softening temperature. During cool period, two melting layers around heated position are tightly squeezed together, when part of the polymer chains moves and tangles to connect of the pipe. Under the temperature and pressure, the polyethylene material would be extruded outward under pressure to form joints at the inner and outer surfaces.

Figure 6. Diagram of butt fusion welding: (a) preheat; (b) heat; (c) hand-off; (d) cool

To explore the influence of joints on received signal, pipes with only inner joint, only outer joint and both of them are modelled, respectively, and the joints are created as semicircles. Dual transducers are placed at 2 sides of joints, and the normal stress is chosen as received signal. It is hard to use point C for detection when existing joints, because it is inside the outer joint. Point E is the midpoint of receiving transducer (not modelled in simulation, but exist in experiments). The signal would contain both P-wave and S-wave in every waveform.

Figure 7. Influence of welding joints (a) inner joint; (b)outer joint; (c) both inner and outer joints

From figure 7, it could be found that both the inner and outer joints alter the waveform, but the inner one influences more, whose reason is that ultrasonic wave would reach firstly at the inner joint, and the propagation path is changed.

3.4. Experiments

Experiments were first performed on pipes with different attenuation coefficients, as shown in figure 8. Results indicated that the number of received signal at non-welded area depends on the attenuation characteristics of pipe.

Figure 8. Experimental results of pipes with different attenuation coefficients

Then, experiments were carried out in non-welded area, welded area without defects and welded area with a defect inside the joint. Figure 9 shows that both joints and defects would change the waveform, so it is important to distinguish them in actual non-destructive testing.

Figure 9. Experimental results in (a) non-welded area; (b) welded area without defects; (c) welded area with a defect.

4. Influence of welding time and ultrasonic testing

4.1. Welding Process

In this section, the butt fusion welding process simulated. Through finite element method, a 2D axisymmetric thermal structure indirect coupling model (Figure 10) is established. The temperature field distribution and thermal stress distribution of the butt fusion welding process are calculated successively, then the difference between sufficient and insufficient cooling time is explored. The diameter of the pipe is 160mm and the diameter to thickness ratio is SDR17. The temperature and stress fields are calculated using fluid heat transfer and solid mechanics, respectively.

Figure 10. Diagram of welding process model

In fluid heat transfer, the material needs to be set as phase-change material varied between solid state and molten state. The heat source is set as a certain temperature at the heating plate. The coefficients of heat convection on the outer and inner surface could be calculated as 2 $\alpha_{\text{out}} = 7.631 W / (m^2 \cdot {}^{\circ}C)$ and $\alpha_{\text{in}} = 0.713 W / (m^2 \cdot {}^{\circ}C)$, respectively.

Butt fusion welding process is simulated as three steps, because the pre-heat period is considered finished at zero moment. Before 94 s is the heating period, where a plate of $210\degree C$ is settle between two pipes. From 94 s to 97 s is the hand-off period, and after that is the cool period. Here the total calculation time is 1000 s. The difference of sufficient and insufficient cooling would be discussed at the stress calculation, they are the same in temperature in a indirect coupling model. The boundary conditions of temperature calculation are given in table 2.

And the temperature distribution at different time is shown in figure 11. During the heating period, the heat transmits from the connection plane to both pipes, and the maximum temperature is the same as the heating plate. In the hand-off and the cool period, the maximum temperature declines gradually and heat still transmit towards two ends of the pipe. The region above softening temperature would form joints.

Figure 11. 3D temperature distribution at different time.

The stress is then simulated based on the calculated temperature distribution. The cooling time is set as till 720s and 480s, which are sufficient and insufficient cooling, respectively. When insufficient cooling, the maximum temperature is still above the softening temperature, but the pressure is not exerted, which would lead to poor welding quality. The boundary conditions in stress calculation are given in table 3. The total time is still 1000 s, and all boundaries are considered as stress free after the cool period.

The calculation of thermal stress in plastic welding is a thermoviscoelastic problem. When defining material properties, the parameters should vary with temperature. WLF equation and the generalize Maxwell equation^[23] also need to be considered.

The simulation of PE pipe butt welding is a typical nonlinear transient problem. Due to the mechanical behavior of material, such as creep and stress relaxation, material characteristics alter with temperature and need to be defined. Young's modulus E and shear modulus G would be calculated from the values at room temperature with the following equations:

$$
\log \frac{G(T_R)}{G(T)} \approx \log \frac{E(T_R)}{E(T)} = 1.15 \frac{T_m / T_R - T_m / T}{T_m / T_R - 1},
$$
\n(1)

where R and m represents reference and melting temperature, respectively. And the other material characteristics could be modeled by interpolating through thermophysical parameters at typical temperature (Table 4) .

Table 4. Material parameters at classic temperature

\mathbf{r} 1 emperature	`onduci herm `lVlt	ecitic Heat S _{nc}	

2023 International Congress on Ultrasonics (2023 ICU BEIJING)

IOP Publishing doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2822/1/012177

Journal of Physics: Conference Series **2822** (2024) 012177

The temperature and stress distribution of 720 s and 480 s are shown in figure 12. Compare 12(c)- (d), when the pipes are insufficiently cooled, it would generate residual stress at the welding region, which is caused by pipes under pressure at improper temperature(above softening temperature), as shown in figure $12(a)$ -(b). It is consistent with the conclusion of Zoetelief $[19]$ that the thermal residual stress is the main influence factor.

Figure 12. Temperature and stress distribution of section at different time (a) temperature at 720 s; (b) temperature at 480 s; (c) stress at 720 s; (a) stress at 480 s;

4.2. Ultrasonic testing experiments

Samples under sufficient and insufficient cooling time were fabricated, whose parameters are consistent with simulation.

Ultrasonic testing experiments in pitch-catch method are performed at both sides of joints. From figure 13, when the cooling time is not enough, the arrival time of ultrasonic signal would be in advance. This is because the residual stress would improve Young's Modulus and then increase both acoustic velocities of P wave and S wave. Besides, the second and third waves in red rectangle under insufficient cooling indicate that there exist possible defects in welded area.

5. Conclusion

In this study, finite element models for ultrasonic non-destructive testing and butt fusion welding were established. Wave propagation characteristics in PE pipes with joints and the process were explored, and the influence of cooling time were discussed. Main conclusions are as follows:

(1) When using a general PMMA wedge to detect a PE pipe, there always exist P wave, S wave and their waveform conversion.

(2) Joints, especially the inner joints, would change the received waveform of ultrasonic nondestructive testing. Besides, the attenuation needs to be considered.

(3) If the cooling time of welding is not enough, it would cause residual stress, which increases the acoustic velocities of P wave and S wave, and may also generate new defects.

References

- [1] Li Z P, Wu L W, Qiao L, et al. 2022 Research progress in polyethylene pipeline hot melt welding technology *China Plastics* **36**(10) 104–116 (in Chinese)
- [2] Shi J F, Feng Y, Tao Y J, et al. 2021 Advances in technical standards for non-destructive testing of polyethylene pipes *J. Press. Vessel. Technol*. **38**(10) 66⁃75 (in Chinese)
- [3] Zheng J, Zhang Y, Hou D, et al. 2018 A review of nondestructive examination technology for polyethylene pipe in nuclear power plant *Front. Mech. Eng.* **13**(4) 535–545
- [4] Wu L C 2004 Butt-fusion welding heater for pressurized plastic pipes *Tianjin University* (in Chinese)
- [5] Wang Z C, Zhang Y, Peng Y J, et al. 2022 Research progress on reliability assessment methods of polyethylene pipes fusion joint *China Plastics* **36**(1) 148-159 (in Chinese)
- [6] Ma N 2016 An accelerated explicit method with GPU parallel computing for thermal stress and welding deformation of large structure models *Int J. Adv. Manuf. Tech.* 1-17
- [7] Poduška J, Hutař P, Kučera J, et al. 2016 Residual stress in polyethylene pipes *Polym. Test.* **54** 288⁃295
- [8] Li M D, Shi J L, Huang G J, et al. 2018 Revelation of the american plastic pipe failure data to china plastic pipe safety management *China Plastics* **32**(3) 92⁃98 (in Chinese)
- [9] Guo W 2014 Ultrasonic testing technique researcher and equipment fabrication of polyethylene butt fusion joint *Dissertation for the Doctoral Degree. Hangzhou: Zhejiang University* (in Chinese)
- [10] Aleshin N P, Kozlov D M and Mogilner L Yu 2021 Ultrasonic testing of welded joints in polyethylene pipe *Russ. Eng. Res.* **41**(2) 123–129
- [11] Shi J, Zheng J, Guo W, et al. 2012 Defects classification and failure modes of electrofusion joint for connecting polyethylene pipes *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **124**(5) 4070–4080
- [12] Xia F, Li W and Liu Y 2013 Research on ultrasonic testing technology for polyethylene pipe hot⁃melting welded joints *Mech. Mater.* **331** 170⁃175
- [13] Spicer M, Troughton M and Hagglund F 2013 Development and assessment of ultrasonic inspection system for polyethylene pipes *Proceedings of ASME 2013 Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference. Paris: ASME* 2536–2545
- [14] Troughton M and Hagglund F 2013 Development and Assessment of Ultrasonic Inspection System for Polyethylene Pipes *Proceedings of the ASME 2013 Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference. Paris, France: American Society of Mechanical Engineers* 14⁃18
- [15] Shi J F, Yang Y J, An C M, et al. 2020 On-site phased array ultrasonic testing of polyethylene gas pipeline *Editorial Office of Pressure Vessel Technology* **37**(12) 52-62 (in Chinese)
- [16] Hou D, Guo W and Zheng J 2015 A method of automatic defect recognition for phased array ultrasonic inspection of polythene electro-fusion joints *Proceedings of ASME 2015 Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference. Boston* V5T–V9T
- [17] Shafiei A M, Scott R, Seviaryn F, et al. 2021 Using machine learning to automate ultrasoundbased classification of butt-fused joints in medium-density polyethylene gas pipes *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **150**(1) 561–572.

- [18] Hutar P, Sevcik M, Frank A, et al. 2013 The effect of residual stress on polymer pipe lifetime *Eng. Fract. Mech.* **108** 98⁃108
- [19] Zoetelief W F, Douven L F A and Housz A J I. 1996 Residual thermal stresses in injection molded products *Polym. Eng. Sci* **36**(14) 1886-96.
- [20] Douven L F A, Baaijenst F P T and Meijer H E H 1995 The computation of properties of injection⁃mouldedproducts *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **20**(3) 403⁃57
- [21] Mikula J, Hutař P, Nezbedová E, et al. 2015 On crack propagation in the welded polyolefin pipes with and without the presence of weld beads *Mater. Design.* **87** 95⁃104
- [22] Gu K F 2003 Numerical simulation of hot plate welding stress-strain in high-density polyethylene pressure pipes *Tianjin University* (in Chinese)
- [23] Lv Y F 2017 Numerical simulation of hot melt welding of high density polyethylene pipe *Southwest Petroleum University* (in Chinese)
- [24] He H J 2015 Study on mechanical properties of hot-melt joints of polyethylene gas pipelines with defects *Southwest Petroleum University* (in Chinese)