

How to Measure Polymer Degradation? An Analysis of Authors' Choices When Calculating the Carbonyl Index

Raimara S. Gomes, Andreia N. Fernandes,* and Walter R. Waldman*

 Cite This: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c10855
 Read Online

 ACCESS
 Image: Metrics & More
 Image: Article Recommendations
 Image: Supporting Information

 ABSTRACT: The carbonyl index aims to measure the degradation level and is used in plastic degradation research as a proxy for the general
 Image: Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The carbonyl index aims to measure the degradation level and is used in plastic degradation research as a proxy for the general degradation level of collected plastic pieces. According to the choices for carbonyl index calculation, comparison using this index is prevented and must be unveiled by the authors, which does not always happen. In order to study the proper usage of the carbonyl index, regarding the choice of the reference band and the usage of the band intensity or the absorption area, we systematically reviewed the methodologies used for polypropylene as a case study. Based on 95 studies gathered from 2000 to 2024, two main methods were used to determine the carbonyl index: the ratio between the carbonyl band area and the reference band area (33.68%) and the ratio between the highest intensity of the carbonyl band and the reference band (66.31%). The reference band of choice and the type of calculation method



produce different carbonyl index values for the same spectra and mean different information, preventing comparison among works with different calculations.

KEYWORDS: polymer degradation, carbonyl index, polypropylene

1. INTRODUCTION

Anthropocene, defined by human-induced environmental transformations, presents a critical challenge: plastic pollution, one of the recent decades' most widespread and enduring features. As proof of its pervasiveness, plastic waste and its adverse effects have been documented in every iconic environment, from mountain tops to the bottom of the sea, across cities, and even suspended in the atmosphere. Additionally, one of this era's main features is investigating and understanding the changes that occur on the surface of these materials.² The perception of such modifications is significantly relevant in the integrity of their applicability and the estimation of their harmful effects on the environment.^{2,3} Once plastic materials are exposed to ecosystems, they are subject to adverse weather conditions, such as rain, solar radiation (ultraviolet), physical abrasion, and microbial effects. Such conditions can cause photodegradation, thermal degradation, wear, and abrasion on the surface of these materials and consequently cause embrittlement and fragmentation into smaller particles (micro and nanoplastics).³ These processes cause chemical changes that degrade the surface of materials through a series of oxidative reactions in the polymer chain, modifying its composition, structure, and properties.^{4,5,44} The chemical processes associated with polymer degradation are relevant for the studies related to the environmental impact of plastic pollution, like the study of fragmentation and formation of dissolved organics,^{50,54} the development of methods to analyze micro and nanoplastics despite their degradation level, ⁵¹ and the influence of the weathering in the formation of biofilms on microplastics. ⁵²

One of the main techniques used to monitor chemical changes on the surface of plastic materials has been Fouriertransformed infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).⁶⁻⁸ This approach allows the detection of functional groups of the substance through distinct absorption bands. $^{6-8}$ For this, it is customary to calculate the ratio between the area or intensity of a band that varies as a function of a stimulus to another not sensitive to the same stimulus, known as the reference band.⁶ In plastics research, perhaps the most common example of this approach is the carbonyl index (hereafter called CI), which measures the intensity or the area of the carbonyl band compared with the intensity or the area of a reference band. From this perspective, the CI has been used for several purposes, such as the evaluation of resistance to degradation through extrusion processes,9 the assessment of the resistance of recycled and reprocessed materials,¹⁰ the development of stabilizers and formulations,¹¹ the prediction of the useful life of a material,¹²

Received:December 25, 2023Revised:April 1, 2024Accepted:April 2, 2024





Figure 1. Pipeline for the literature gathering to find and analyze studies using the carbonyl index in polypropylene materials.

and the relationship between the level of degradation and the fragmentation potential. $^{\rm 47}$

Initially suggested by Mellor et al.,13 the CI specifically monitors the absorption band of carbonyl species as one of the main degradation products formed during the oxidative degradation processes of polymers. This region results from the convolution of at least three main bands,¹⁴ attributed to photoproducts that absorb in the same region, that is, carboxylic acids in dimer form (~1712 cm⁻¹), esters (~1735 cm⁻¹) and γ -lactones (~1780 cm⁻¹). Using this approach, Rouillon et al.' reinforced the evidence that the formation of different carboxylic species and maximum absorption peak vary according to the degradation time. After 40 h of degradation, the formation of bands with maximum absorption peak began, referring to dimeric acids (1712 cm⁻¹), which prevailed as a maximum until reaching 80 h of degradation. After 80 h, a progressive change in the maximum absorption of dimer acids to esters was observed, which prevailed until the full-time study (150 h). Although the γ -lactone species appeared within 40 h of degradation, at no point in the degradation experiment were these carbonyl species between the maximum absorption peaks. Furthermore, it was observed that the concentration of these degradation products is directly proportional to the degradation time when comparing similar formulations.^{7,14}

Regarding the studies of plastic pollution from varied sources subjected to distinct weather exposures, it is impossible to estimate this exposition time, because there is no experimental control and no information about the type and concentration of stabilizers. In that case, CI can be a proxy of the degradation level but not of the degradation time. With the growing investigation on the impact of plastic materials in the environment and the related characterization, some studies still apply CI for this purpose.^{15–17} For example, CI PP from microplastics found in sediments was used to relate the level of degradation to the season. In addition, the CI of PP microplastics found in marine sediments near the port of Cartagena (southeast Spain) was used to relate the level of degradation with the different marine environments where the sampling was carried out.¹⁵ Celik et al.¹⁶ determined the CI of

PP microplastic particles in several seas around Japan to correlate the level of degradation and the different regions. Additionally, some initiatives tried to correlate the CI determined by FTIR with the CI determined by Raman because of the advantages of the technique regarding easier sample preparation.⁵³

To check the proper usage of the CI to monitor polymer degradation and whether the CI can be used for comparison among studies, we performed a systematic review of the literature to follow how it is calculated and used. To carry on the review, we chose polypropylene because of the two most produced polymers in the world,⁴⁵ polypropylene is the one more prone to photodegradation due to the tertiary carbon of each monomer in the main chain.^{46,47}

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Literature Search. The literature search was performed on Web of Science with the word "polypropylene" in the title and the expression "carbonyl index" in the topics, which encompasses the title, the abstract, the author keywords, and the "keyword plus." According to the Web of Science Help section,¹⁸ "KeyWords Plus" indexes terms automatically generated from the titles of cited articles. The outputs were limited for the Language "English" from 2000 to 2024, February 27th. The search yielded 112 records assessed for eligibility by reading the titles and abstracts; we also read the text when necessary. The studies that did not calculate the carbonyl index were excluded. After the screening, 95 articles were gathered for analysis (Figure 1). The degradation type categorization was done by gathering equivalent expressions to the pertinent categories (details shown in Table S1).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since studies on plastic pollution usually cite or learn the calculation protocols from the polymer degradation research, we based our review on the general literature calculating the carbonyl index without limiting our search to words such as microplastic or pollution. Doing so, we gathered all the



Figure 2. Percentage of publications distributed according to (a) material type and (b) degradation type.



Figure 3. FTIR spectra of PP with the band's assignment.

literature that calculated the CI and assemble the discussion about the choices of the authors.

3.1. Framework Characterization of the Gathered Literature. The distribution of the papers using CI to track the degradation level in polypropylene-based materials was 54.7% for polypropylene alone and 45.3% for multicomponent materials: 35.8% for composites and 9.5% for blends (Figure 2a). Figure 2b shows representative of the degradation types encompassing formation of carbonyl as part of the mechanistic evolution, with more than 40% of the studies in the context of indoor photodegradation and only 18.9% of the experiments performed in the outdoor environment. Notably, some studies performed more than one type of degradation, so the percentage will exceed 100% (Figure 2b).

The predominance of indoor degradation experiments (Figure 2b) is due to the need for better and more reliable assessment under accelerated and controlled conditions in a shorter period.^{11,12,19-42} These experiments provide experimental data to indicate optimal parameters for the best adjustment of products and processes that delay degradation

and increase lifespan, improving recycling conditions and formulation.^{11,12,19-42} On the other hand, exposition to the weather in outdoor degradation experiments allows better prediction of how properties change as a function of degradation time in realistic conditions, although the experiments take longer. While indoor experimental conditions provide essential information and predictions regarding the behavior of materials under such controlled experimental conditions, laboratory experimental tests do not encompass all conditions of a true-to-life environment. For instance, Rajakumar et al.⁴³ determined the CI of PP films that were directly exposed to the natural environment where they were exposed to the weather and the related conditions such as the entire solar spectrum radiation, not only the UV-A range, beyond rain, wind, and temperature variation as a function of the time, which influence the degradation.

According to the articles assessed, CI was the method employed to determine the degree of degradation of plastic materials. In these studies, the materials were exposed to mechanical forces, saline environments, solar radiation, rain,



Figure 4. (a) Distribution of studies that used the area or intensity. The value exceeds 100% because one of the studies used both methods, (b) variety of intensities used, and (c) variety of areas used. Reprinted with permission from ref 7. Copyright 2023 Polymer Degradation and Stability.

wind, and various temperatures. The environment where the material is exposed to degradation is a significant factor in evaluating CI, as the stressors to which plastics are subjected can directly influence the result. Photodegradation, for example, typically occurs on the surface of plastic materials, so the degree of deterioration can be higher on the surface of the plastic and lower in the inner regions of the material.^{7,16} Then, a single material tends to have a deterioration gradient and, consequently, a CI gradient. Additionally, since photodegradation promotes the breaking of chemical bonds and the increase of crystallinity, the materials change, enabling cracks and peeling of the surface, which leads to fragmentation into smaller particles (micro and nanoplastics). This fragmentation can cause an underestimated CI value of the polymer matrix since the most degraded region undergoes fragmentation, leaving the matrix and maintaing the former inner layer, which is less degraded.¹⁶

3.2. Determination of the Carbonyl Index. Several sample features can interfere with the final spectra obtained by FTIR, like roughness, scattering radiation, or thickness, for

increasing the optical path. Since the entire spectrum will be homogeneously affected by those features, one approach to overcome this difficulty is the calculation of ratios between a band that changes as a function of a treatment one wants to measure and another band, called the "reference band," which does not change as a function of this given treatment.

Figure 3 shows the characteristic spectrum of pristine and degraded PP with bands analyzed in the spectral range of 4000 to 500 cm⁻¹ and band assignments. In the pristine material, all the characteristic bands of PP are observed; however, bands are absent in the carbonyl region. On the other hand, in the degraded material, in addition to the characteristic bands, there is the convolution of carbonyl species bands that result from degradation products formed during the material degradation process. To calculate CI, the evolution of the absorption band of carbonyl species in the range of 1850-1650 cm⁻¹ is precisely monitored (highlighted in orange in Figure 3).

Figure 4a presents the results gathered from the 90 papers using CI as a measurement to monitor oxidative degradation. Here, 98.95% of the collected studies did inform the methods

pubs.acs.org/est



Figure 5. Distribution of the main reference bands used for PP and blends/composites according to CI calculation methods. At the top of the PP spectrum, the shapes with circular ends represent studies that used the band intensities. At the bottom of the PP spectrum, the shapes with triangular ends represent studies that used the band areas. The horizontally arranged shapes represent a strip of bands.

used to obtain the CI, and two main methods were identified. The first method is the ratio between the highest intensity of the carbonyl band and the intensity at the peak of a reference band, with 66.3% of the occurrences (Figure 4b); the second method is the ratio between the carbonyl band area and the reference band area, which accounted for 33.6% of the studies (Figure 4c). Noteworthy that some studies performed more than one method used to obtain the CI so that the percentage will exceed 100%. Both methods have advantages and disadvantages. Using the peak intensity is simple, as it only uses the intensity recorded at the maximum wavenumber of the band, whereas using the area of the whole band can be complicated due to the baseline inclinations.

Regarding the calculation step, the usage of the peak intensity decreases the chance of overlapping with other bands attributed to contaminations like other polymer components, as in blends or composites, additives in higher concentrations, or organic matter adhered to the microplastic surface, such as humic substances or biofilms. In contrast, because it occupies a broader range of the x-axis, the band area has a higher chance of overlapping with such contaminants, making it harder to accurately calculate. Regarding the variety of carbonyl types, since we know several carbonyl types are due to polymer degradation, choosing only one peak intensity to measure the photodegradation does not capture the diversity and complexity of the degradation products. For that purpose, the band

area is a better choice if the presence of contamination, as mentioned above, allows it.

It is noteworthy that for the peak intensity approach, 23.8% of the works did not disclose the peak wavenumber (Figure 4b). As discussed before, because of the diversity of carbonyls formed as a function of the exposition time,¹⁴ the same piece of plastic can have different predominances of carbonyls after other times of degradation. That is the reason behind the variety of carbonyl wavenumbers chosen for the calculation of CI. For microplastic research, it is essential to disclose this information to enable further meta-analysis on the variety of carbonyls and advance the knowledge about polymer degradation in various weathering conditions outdoors. The use of the carbonyl band area (33.6%) considers the integration of the entire area of the wavenumber, and a wide variety of ranges were observed (Figure 4c). Although this approach finds a large part of the degradation products formed to calculate the CI, the comparison between the results becomes inconsistent or imprecise given the variety of ranges in different regions used in the works. Additionally, 46.4% of the studies that mentioned using the carbonyl band area did not use a range of wavenumbers but only the area of a single wavenumber of interest (Figure 4c).

In addition to the choices regarding the carbonyl band, there are also various choices regarding the reference bands (Figure 5). For an overview of the type of reference bands, we group them according to band similarity (details shown in Table S2).

The most used bands as reference were the bands at 974, 1456, and 2722 cm⁻¹ for the PP and those at 1456, 2722, and 2916 cm⁻¹ for the blends/composites.

CI obtained using different reference bands cannot be compared, since they use other chemical groups as denominators with varying coefficients of molar absorptivity. Given the mathematical operation, the values obtained can be greater or less in the CI calculation stage with an invariable numerator and variable denominator. Consequently, there will be a difference in CI values to underestimate or overestimate the degradation states of the materials. Considering this premise, the reference band used must not vary with the degradative processes to which the materials are subjected. However, it can be anticipated that the reference bands are not necessarily inert or insensitive to context change, as is the case of the CH₃ band at ~1456 cm^{-1,2} which decreases in intensity due to the formation of volatiles containing the pendant CH₃ groups, from PP. The degradation mechanism reported by Rouillon et al.7 and Delprat et al.14 indicate that the main volatile products are acetic acid and acetone, which carry the CH₃ portion in which a large part of these products migrate from the polymer phase to the gas phase, causing the IR absorption of CH₃ to decrease with exposure.

There is an increasing attempt to establish standards for CI use, application, and acceptable values. Consequently, the PAS 9017:2020 specification emerged to provide methods, deadlines, and criteria, demonstrating that polyolefins containing biodegradable additives can decompose in outdoor terrestrial environments without forming microplastics. To this end, PAS 9017:2020 uses CIs as one of the main parameters that determine whether this specific type of plastic decomposes and meets soil biodegradability requirements. According to the guideline, values greater than 1.0 in CIs after artificial or outdoor weathering indicate that the material will biodegrade in the soil without the formation of microplastic particles. However, although PAS 9017:2020 uses the second method identified in this study (area under the band) to monitor the carbonyl region, there needs to be a specification as to which reference band should be used. The lack of this information directly compromises the validity of this statement since, as previously discussed, the CI mathematical calculation is influenced by the reference band used. Furthermore, it was also discussed previously that several factors can influence the determination of CI, and many materials can exhibit a gradient of CIs. Therefore, it is necessary to consider several factors when standardizing the use of the CI.

Baseline correction is an important matter underestimated in the literature. From all 95 papers, 5 cited they performed a step of baseline correction, although with no details and only 1 work¹⁶ described the details of the baseline. For a detailed discussion on the precautions and care about baseline correction and usage, we refer to the works of Zhang et al.,⁴⁸ Almond et al.,⁶ and Yang et al.⁴⁹

In summary, the method using the area for the CI calculation (33.68% of the choices) takes into consideration the carbonyl diversity, whereas the intensity method (66.31%) takes into consideration the predominant form of carbonyl at the time of the measurement, which might change as a function of time. Regarding the reference bands, the diversity is significant, but the predominance for area or intensity is the band at 1456 cm⁻¹. Additionally, the choices for the reference band influence the CI value, preventing comparison among different works. Finally, due to the lack of standards, some

works use bands not assigned to the polypropylene structure as reference bands, which is inadequate and biases the results.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Data Availability Statement

The data sets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in Table S3.

1 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.3c10855.

Categorization by degradation type and by reference bands and list of all studies considered (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Andreia N. Fernandes Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, RS 91501-970, Brasil; o orcid.org/0000-0001-6993-8740; Email: andreia.fernandes@ufrgs.br
- Walter R. Waldman Centro de Ciências e Tecnologia para Sustentabilidade, Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar), Sorocaba, SP 18052-780, Brasil; • orcid.org/ 0000-0002-7280-2243; Email: walter@ufscar.br

Author

Raimara S. Gomes – Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, RS 91501-970, Brasil; orcid.org/0000-0002-5592-9429

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c10855

Author Contributions

R.S.G. and W.R.W.: Conceptualization, methodology, investigation, and writing-original draft. A.N.F.: Investigation, resources, writing-review and editing, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES, Finance Code 001), the National Institute for Advanced Analytical Science and Technology (INCTAA, CNPq proc. 465768/2014-8), National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq proc. 406391/2021-1), and the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP 2022/12104-4) for the financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) Xu, X.; Li, T.; Zhen, J.; Jiang, Y.; Nie, X.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, X.-Z.; Mao, H.; Wang, X.; Xue, L.; Chen, J. Characterization of Microplastics in Clouds over Eastern China. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2023**, 16 DOI: 10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00729.

(2) Jahnke, A.; Arp, H. P. H.; Escher, B. I.; Gewert, B.; Gorokhova, E.; Kühnel, D.; Ogonowski, M.; Potthoff, A.; Rummel, C.; Schmitt-Jansen, M.; Toorman, E.; MacLeod, M. Reducing Uncertainty and Confronting Ignorance about the Possible Impacts of Weathering Plastic in the Marine Environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2017**, 4 (3), 85–90.

(3) Rummel, C. D.; Jahnke, A.; Gorokhova, E.; Kühnel, D.; Schmitt-Jansen, M. Impacts of Biofilm Formation on the Fate and Potential Effects of Microplastic in the Aquatic Environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2017**, *4* (7), 258–267.

(4) Guo, X.; Wang, J. The Chemical Behaviors of Microplastics in Marine Environment: A Review. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 2019, 142, 1–14. (5) Freshwater Microplastics: Emerging Environmental Contaminants?; Wagner, M., Lambert, S., Eds.; The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2018; Vol. 58. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-61615-5.

(6) Almond, J.; Sugumaar, P.; Wenzel, M. N.; Hill, G.; Wallis, C. Determination of the Carbonyl Index of Polyethylene and Polypropylene Using Specified Area under Band Methodology with ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy. *E-Polym.* **2020**, *20* (1), 369–381.

(7) Rouillon, C.; Bussiere, P. O.; Desnoux, E.; Collin, S.; Vial, C.; Therias, S.; Gardette, J. L. Is Carbonyl Index a Quantitative Probe to Monitor Polypropylene Photodegradation? *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2016**, *128*, 200–208.

(8) Charles, J.; Ramkumaar, G. R. Qualitative Analysis of High Density Polyethylene Using FTIR Spectroscopy. *Asian J. Chem.* 2009, 21 (6), 4477–4484.

(9) Canevarolo, S. V.; Babetto, A. C. Effect of Screw Element Type in Degradation of Polypropylene upon Multiple Extrusions. *Adv. Polym. Technol.* **2002**, *21* (4), 243–249.

(10) Xiang, Q.; Xanthos, M.; Patel, S. H.; Mitra, S. Comparison of Volatile Emissions and Structural Changes of Melt Reprocessed Polypropylene Resins. *Adv. Polym. Technol.* **2002**, *21* (4), 235–242.

(11) Bagheri, R.; Naimian, F. Effect of Photo-Initiators on Melt Degradation of Polypropylene and Starch-Filled Polymer. *Polym. Int.* **2002**, *51* (10), 907–911.

(12) Jansson, A.; Möller, K.; Gevert, T. Degradation of Post-Consumer Polypropylene Materials Exposed to Simulated Recycling—Mechanical Properties. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2003**, *82* (1), 37– 46.

(13) Mellor, D. C.; Moir, A. B.; Scott, G. The Effect of Processing Conditions on the u.v. Stability of Polyolefins. *Eur. Polym. J.* **1973**, 9 (3), 219–225.

(14) Delprat, P.; Duteurtre, X.; Gardette, J. L. Photooxidation of Unstabilized and HALS-Stabilized Polyphasic Ethylene-Propylene Polymers. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **1995**, *50* (1), 1–12.

(15) Bayo, J.; Rojo, D.; Olmos, S. Weathering Indices of Microplastics along Marine and Coastal Sediments from the Harbor of Cartagena (Spain) and Its Adjoining Urban Beach. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2022**, *178*, No. 113647.

(16) Celik, M.; Nakano, H.; Uchida, K.; Isobe, A.; Arakawa, H. Comparative Evaluation of the Carbonyl Index of Microplastics around the Japan Coast. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2023**, *190*, No. 114818.

(17) Scott, J. W.; Turner, A.; Prada, A. F.; Zhao, L. Heterogeneous Weathering of Polypropylene in the Marine Environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *812*, No. 152308.

(18) Web of Science Core Collection Help. https://images. webofknowledge.com/images/help/WOS/hp_full_record.html.

(19) Abdel-Bary, E. M.; Abdel-Razik, E. A.; Abdelaal, M. Y.; El-Sherbiny, I. M. Stability of Polypropylene Blends Under the Effect of Thermal and UV Degradation. *Polym.-Plast. Technol. Eng.* **2005**, 44 (5), 847–862.

(20) Jia, H.; Wang, H.; Chen, W. The Combination Effect of Hindered Amine Light Stabilizers with UV Absorbers on the Radiation Resistance of Polypropylene. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* 2007, 76 (7), 1179–1188.

(21) Wang, X.; Chen, X.; Yu, W.; Ji, Y.; Hu, X.; Xu, J. Applications of Rheological Torque–Time Curves to the Study of Thermooxidative Degradation of Polypropylene Powder. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2007**, *105* (3), 1316–1330.

(22) Guadagno, L.; Naddeo, C.; Raimondo, M.; Vittoria, V. Structural and Morphological Changes during UV Irradiation of the Trans-Planar Form of Syndiotactic Polypropylene. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2008**, 93 (1), 176–187.

(23) Islam, N. M.; Othman, N.; Ahmad, Z.; Ismail, H. Effect of Pro-Degradant Additives Concentration on Aging Properties of Polypropylene Films. Polym.-Plast. Technol. Eng. 2010, 49 (3), 272–278.

(24) Nasir, A.; Yasin, T.; Islam, A. Thermo-Oxidative Degradation Behavior of Recycled Polypropylene. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 2011, 119 (6), 3315–3320.

(25) Flores-Gallardo, S. G.; Sánchez-Valdes, S.; De Valle, L. F. R. Polypropylene/Polypropylene-Grafted Acrylic Acid Blends for Multilayer Films: Preparation and Characterization. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2001**, 79 (8), 1497–1505.

(26) Miraftab, M.; Horrocks, A. R.; Mwila, J. The Influence of Carbon Black on Properties of Oriented Polypropylene 3. Thermal Degradation under Applied Stress. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2002**, *78* (2), 225–235.

(27) Bárány, T.; Földes, E.; Czigány, T.; Karger-Kocsis, J. Effect of UV Aging on the Tensile and Fracture Mechanical Response of Syndiotactic Polypropylenes of Various Crystallinity. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2004**, *91* (6), 3462–3469.

(28) Tocháček, J.; Vrátníčková, Z. Polymer Life-Time Prediction: The Role of Temperature in UV Accelerated Ageing of Polypropylene and Its Copolymers. *Polym. Test.* **2014**, *36*, 82–87.

(29) Qi, L.; Ding, Y.; Dong, Q.; Wen, B.; Liu, P.; Wang, F.; Zhang, S.; Yang, M. UV Photodegradation of Polypropylene Thick Bars Containing Rutile-Type TiO2 Nanorods. *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.* **2014**, 32 (7), 834–843.

(30) He, G.-J.; Zheng, T.-T.; Ke, D.-M.; Cao, X.-W.; Yin, X.-C.; Xu, B.-P. Impact of Rapid Ozone Degradation on the Structure and Properties of Polypropylene Using a Reactive Extrusion Process. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5* (55), 44115–44120.

(31) Mylläri, V.; Ruoko, T.-P.; Syrjälä, S. A Comparison of Rheology and FTIR in the Study of Polypropylene and Polystyrene Photodegradation. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2015**, *132* (28), 42246.

(32) Zapata, P. A.; Zenteno, A.; Amigó, N.; Rabagliati, F. M.; Sepúlveda, F.; Catalina, F.; Corrales, T. Study on the Photodegradation of Nanocomposites Based on Polypropylene and TiO2 Nanotubes. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2016**, *133*, 101–107.

(33) Aravinthan, A.; Arkatkar, A.; Juwarkar, A. A.; Doble, M. Synergistic Growth of *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* and Its Degradation Potential on Pretreated Polypropylene. *Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *46* (2), 109–115.

(34) He, C.; Yao, X.; Xue, J.; Xiong, J.; Zhao, L. Influences of Mold Fungi Colonization on Wheat Straw–Polypropylene Composites. *For. Prod. J.* **2016**, *66* (7–8), 472–479.

(35) Mouaci, S.; Teyssedre, G.; Belkahla, N.; Saidi, M.; Griseri, V.; Saidi-Amroun, N. Charge Trapping and Conduction in γ Irradiated Isotactic Polypropylene. *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.* **2017**, 24 (6), 3821–3830.

(36) Mouaci, S.; Saidi, M.; Saidi-Amroun, N. Oxidative degradation and morphological properties of gamma-irradiated isotactic polypropylene films. *Micro Nano Lett.* **2017**, 478 DOI: 10.1049/ mnl.2016.0812.

(37) Tavares, L. B.; Rocha, R. G.; Rosa, D. S. An Organic Bioactive Pro-Oxidant Behavior in Thermal Degradation Kinetics of Polypropylene Films. *Iran. Polym. J.* **2017**, *26* (4), 273–280.

(38) Krim, S.; Boukerrou, A.; Djidjelli, H.; Beaugrand, J.; Hammiche, D. REPROCESSING OF COMPOSITES BASED ON POLYPROPYLENE LOADED WITH OLIVE HUSK FLOUR. *Compos. Mech. Comput. Appl. Int. J.* **2018**, 9 (2), 163–187.

(39) Zhang, T.; Chen, K.; Zhang, Z.; Shi, R. Quantitative Relationship between Melting Peak Temperature and Carbonyl Index of Polypropylene during UV Aging Based on Date Fitting. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2018**, *322*, No. 022016.

(40) Yu, M.; Wang, J.; Tian, P.; Sun, L.; Sun, K.; Ge, Z.; Huang, R. Evaluation of the Durability of Lignin-Reinforced Composites Based on Wheat Straw/Recycled Polypropylene Blends. *BioResources* **2019**, *14* (3), 5683–5697.

(41) Feng, G.; Wang, X.; Zhang, D.; Xiao, X.; Qian, K. Fabrication of ZnO Coated Nano-TiO2 and Evaluation of Its Efficiency in Stabilization of Polypropylene Fibers. *Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.* **2019**, *125* (5), 359.

(42) Tian, S.; Luo, Y.; Chen, J.; He, H.; Chen, Y.; Ling, Z. A Comprehensive Study on The Accelerated Weathering Properties of Polypropylene—Wood Composites with Non-Metallic Materials of Waste-Printed Circuit Board Powders. *Materials* **2019**, *12* (6), 876.

(43) Rajakumar, K.; Sarasvathy, V.; Thamarai Chelvan, A.; Chitra, R.; Vijayakumar, C. T. Natural Weathering Studies of Polypropylene. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2009**, *17* (3), 191–202.

(44) Fernández-González, V.; Andrade-Garda, J. M.; López-Mahía, P.; Muniategui-Lorenzo, S. Impact of Weathering on the Chemical Identification of Microplastics from Usual Packaging Polymers in the Marine Environment. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2021**, *1142*, 179–188.

(45) Geyer, R.; Jambeck, J. R.; Law, K. L. Production, Use, and Fate of All Plastics Ever Made. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, 3 (7), No. e1700782.

(46) Biale, G.; La Nasa, J.; Mattonai, M.; Corti, A.; Castelvetro, V.; Modugno, F. Seeping Plastics: Potentially Harmful Molecular Fragments Leaching out from Microplastics during Accelerated Ageing in Seawater. *Water Res.* **2022**, *219*, No. 118521.

(47) Syranidou, E.; Karkanorachaki, K.; Barouta, D.; Papadaki, E.; Moschovas, D.; Avgeropoulos, A.; Kalogerakis, N. Relationship between the Carbonyl Index (CI) and Fragmentation of Polyolefin Plastics during Aging. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2023**, *57* (21), 8130– 8138.

(48) Zhang, F.; Tang, X.; Li, L. Origins of Baseline Drift and Distortion in Fourier Transform Spectra. *Molecules* **2022**, *27* (13), 4287.

(49) Yang, Z.; Murat, Ç.; Nakano, H.; Arakawa, H. Accessing the Intrinsic Factors of Carbonyl Index of Microplastics: Physical and Spectral Properties, Baseline Correction, Calculation Methods, and Their Interdependence. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2023**, *197*, No. 115700.

(50) Pfohl, P.; Wagner, M.; Meyer, L.; Domercq, P.; Praetorius, A.; Hüffer, T.; Hofmann, T.; Wohlleben, W. Environmental Degradation of Microplastics: How to Measure Fragmentation Rates to Secondary Micro- and Nanoplastic Fragments and Dissociation into Dissolved Organics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56* (16), 11323–11334.

(51) Gillibert, R.; Balakrishnan, G.; Deshoules, Q.; Tardivel, M.; Magazzù, A.; Donato, M. G.; Maragò, O. M.; Lamy De La Chapelle, M.; Colas, F.; Lagarde, F.; Gucciardi, P. G. Raman Tweezers for Small Microplastics and Nanoplastics Identification in Seawater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (15), 9003–9013.

(52) Wang, J.; Guo, X.; Xue, J. Biofilm-Developed Microplastics As Vectors of Pollutants in Aquatic Environments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, 12780.

(53) Yang, Z.; Çelik, M.; Arakawa, H. Challenges of Raman Spectra to Estimate Carbonyl Index of Microplastics: A Case Study with Environmental Samples from Sea Surface. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2023**, *194*, No. 115362.

(54) Tuttle, E.; Wiman, C.; Muñoz, S.; Law, K. L.; Stubbins, A. Sunlight-Driven Photochemical Removal of Polypropylene Microplastics from Surface Waters Follows Linear Kinetics and Does Not Result in Fragmentation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2024**, *58* (12), 5461– 5471.