

Geomembranes leakage

Depends on

- Number and size of holes in service (i.e., after GMB is loaded!)
- · Head on liner
- Interaction with the climatic conditions and surrounding media (above and below) before and after it is covered

and has been often calculated assuming hole in a GMB in direct contact with a clay liner (e.g., Giroud 1997)

Objectives and Limitations

- · Introduce concepts to those new to the field
- Present some latest developments
- The material presented is not complete in and of itself; it is intended only to provide direction. Examine published sources for more complete information
- · Not all topics are covered

GMB in Direct Contact with GCL



Geomembranes (GMB)

• Are essentially impermeable to flow of aqueous solutions – except for holes.

Giroud (2016):

- "All liners leak"
- With fulltime CQA but no electrical leak location survey expect 5-6 holes per hectare
- With only spot checks and no electrical leak location survey expect 25 holes per hectare

© R.K. Rowe 2018

Leakage through GMB in Direct Contact with Clay Liner



Rowe (2012)

Interface contact GMB/CCL

Giroud (1997) defined:

- good contact GMB with as few "wrinkles" as possible, on low-permeability soil, adequately compacted and a smooth surface
- poor contact GMB with a certain number of "wrinkles", and/or on low-permeability soil, not well compacted and does not appear smooth
- *wrinkles as discussed in this presentation can not be modeled as an interface transmissivity (very small and local "wrinkles" < 1cm high may be included in the Giroud definition)
- Rowe (1998) inferred transmissivities of:
- good contact $\theta = 1.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ $\theta = 1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$
- poor contact

Calculated Leakage for Direct contact

	GMB/GCL	GMB/CCL	GMB
h _w	Q	Q	Q
(m)	(lphd)	(lphd)	(lphd)
0.3	0.2	2	10,000

GMB: 5 holes/ha 1.4-3.2 mm diameter (also for below) GCL: $H_L = 0.01 \text{ m}, k_L = 1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m/s}, \theta = 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ CCL: $H_L = 0.6 \text{ m}$, $k_L = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m/s}$, $\theta = 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$

Interface contact GMB/GCL

- greater potential for obtaining good contact with GCL than with CCL since
 - GCL can be placed flat on a well compacted, smooth and firm foundation
 - bentonite swelling upon hydration may reduce small gaps at the GMB/GCL interface
- typical transmissivity: $2x10^{-11} \le \theta \le 4x10^{-11} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ for water or MSW leachate $\sigma_v \ge 50$ kPa (i.e., 3 to 4 orders of magnitude lower than for a CCL)

Calculated Leakage for GMB alone Fluid ▼ GMB Drain Hole Hole Number Q Area* diameter per ha (ha-1) (mm^2) (mm) (lphd) 1.5 1.4 750 1 2.5 1.8 1250 2.3 2 4000 Δ

Total 10.000 * Based on Giroud (2016); 5 holes/ha; head h_w= 0.3 m

3.2

8

Emerging Topics in Geosynthetics - Short Course University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Findings from field monitoring

- Leakage with composite liners much less than with a single geomembrane
- Composite liners with a GCL perform much better than a composite with a CCL

BUT

 Observed leakages 10 to 10,000 times larger than calculated using traditional equations assuming direct contact and a reasonable number of holes/ha – why?

Rowe (2012)

4000

GMB in direct contact with GCL

Subgrade	Geomembrane (GMB) Geosynthetic clay liner (GCL)
	Assumed when using traditional equations or HELP
Queen's l	University Environmental Liner Test Site (QUELTS)
GMB v ambie	vith no wrinkles; cloudy November morning; ent <i>T</i> = 3 °C (<i>T</i> = 37 °F)



























Significance of holes and wrinkles

If there are 5 holes / ha and

- 20% of the entire area is occupied by wrinkles, there is a 67% probability that
- 5% of the entire area is occupied by wrinkles there is a 23% probability that

at least one of those holes is coincident with a wrinkle.

Thus, wrinkles will dominate leakage unless covered with essentially no wrinkles







HDPE Wrinkle Summary

- Wrinkling related to solar radiation and GMB temperature (may be 20-40°C > ambient)
- Typical wrinkle width about 0.2 0.3 m
- Typical wrinkle height about 0.06m (up to 0.2m)
- Wrinkles could range from a few % to more than 30% depending on time GMB is covered
- Even on a "small" area (0.15-0.17 ha), wrinkle length exceeded 200m once more than about 5% of area was wrinkles







Summary: wrinkles

- as many as 5000 wrinkles / ha
- max length over 5400 m long
- NEED to limit time of day place cover
- may get smaller but do not go away – after cover soil
 - after buried beneath waste



















Black vs White GMB Wrinkles

- · Significant wrinkles for both black and white GMBs
- Significant wrinkles appeared sooner and remain longer in black GMB than in white GMB
- More wrinkles in black GMB than in white GMB at any time
- Longer connected wrinkles in black GMB than in white GMB

Rentz et al. (unp)



Significance of holes and wrinkles

Number of holes per ha	% of area with wrinkles	Probability of a hole in a wrinkle
5	5	23%
	10	41%
	15	56%
	20	67%
6	10	47%
	15	62%
10	5	40%
	10	65%
	15	80%
	20	89%









2018-08-03

Calculated leakage through a								
p	l	Probability leakage is						
				higher				
Liner	L	Leakage		with no				
	(m/ha)	(lphd)		ELLS				
GMB/CCL	1000	830		< 3				
GMB/CCL	230	190	20 gpad	20				
GMB/CCL	60	50	5 gpad	60				
GMB/GCL	1000	130		35				
GMB/GCL	400	50	5 gpad	60				
GMB/GCL	60	8		> 85				
One wrinkle with hole: length, L: width, $2b = 0.2 \text{ m}$								
GCL $k_b = 5x10^{-11}$ m/s, GCL $k_a = 2x10^{-10}$ m/s, $H_L = 0.01$ m, $\theta = 3x10^{-11}$ m ² /s;								
CCL $k_L = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m/s}, H_L$ K. Rowe 2018	= 0.6m, θ = 1.6	6x10 ⁻⁸ m²/s;		Rowe (2012)				













Shrinkage

Consider interaction between system and climate **Observations**

- Shrinkage appears to depend on:
 - method of GCL manufacture
 - local site conditions
- · Effects can be minimized by:
 - covering as quickly as possible; if not possible, by
 - selecting a GCL with the best performance, and
 - ensuring 300mm overlap at seams, and
 - heat tacking seam where practical, and
 - using a white geomembrane, but still
- cover as quickly as possible







Take, Brachman & Rowe (2015)

Sam (2006) Foundation sol

15°C 70

Airspace: As T∱ RHJ

Moisture cycle from thermal cycle when exposed

- GCL hydrates with moisture from subsoil
 on heating:
 - GCL loses moisture to GMB/GCL interface
 - vapour migrates towards wrinkles
- on cooling:
- vapour condenses on underside of GMB
- condensed moisture
- trickles downslope











Bentonite: underside of slope GMB







The mechanism

Down-slope erosion is not random but it's location is related to local irregularities

Underside of base GMB at opening



















- GCLs covered only by a black GMB was intentionally left exposed for long periods of time at Queen's test site
- observed of features where there was little/no bentonite remaining in the GCL
- arises from cumulative effects of bentonite migration with small amounts of condensed water trickling on the GCL





Features on slope and base

- more features detected on slope than base
- after 4.7 years, most significant feature on base was:
 maximum 50 mm wide, nearly 20 m long
- features big enough such that they will not heal from swelling or stress effects once covered



QUELTS 1 & 2 summary

- · White GMB prolonged time to erosion
- Bentonite in some GCLs was more resistant to down-slope erosion than others
- Multi-component GCL, no erosion after 28 months
 coating prevented loss of moisture to GMB/GCL interface
- 0.3 m gravel cover, no erosion after 28 months
 cover prevented thermal cycles that cause down-slope erosion
 and shrinkage Cover White

ge Cover White GMB Coated GCL



Buried wrinkles with shrinkage or downslope erosion

- If a hole in the GMB aligns with a
 - seam that shrinks to disengage supplemental bentonite
 - seam gap
 - location of down-slope erosion
 - leakage becomes very large (controlled by Bernoulli's equation
- Probability of this becomes much higher as the number of buried wrinkles increases since winkles may be
 - both perpendicular and parallel & directly over overlaps
 - aligned with location of downslope erosion

Transr

laver θ

Avoiding excessive leakage

- Cover the composite liner in a timely manner. Important
 - for most common GCLs: and
 - for compacted clay which can desiccate (increasing interface transmissivity)
- · If composite must be left exposed, use a GCL with proven good resistance to shrinkage and downslope erosion (there are some but they cost more than the cheaper commonly used GCLs)
- Minimize the number of buried wrinkle (less that 5%)
- Use a leak location survey to minimize number of holes after the cover soil is placed.

Conclusions

· Composite liner leakage less with GCL than CCL, BUT advantage reduced as wrinkle % increases because of low stress on GCL below wrinkle (higher k) Wrinkle 26

GMB

- Mid-day temperature below wrinkle ~ 15°C above elsewhere
- · White GMB gives longer period when one can cover but does not eliminate the issue
- Cover soil and loading reduced wrinkle width but they remain

Conclusions

- · Nature of required liner depends on level of acceptable leakage
- Leakage can be substantially reduced by a composite liner
- Wrinkles/waves will increase leakage for (K. Embree) composite liners and should be minimized

GCL

GMB



- · Cover composite liners in a timely manner (or wrinkles contribute to problems with CCL or GCL)
 - desiccation of CCLs
 - shrinkage for some GCL
 - downslope erosion of some GCLs
- All or which become critical if intersected by a buried wrinkle with a hole



Conclusions

- · As % area with wrinkles increases above 5%, probability that
 - a hole will intersect a wrinkle
 - the wrinkle will be long
 - leakage will exceed ALR
 - increases significantly





Acknowledgements

Queen's-RMC Colleagues: R. Brachman, R. A. Take, G. Siemens

PDFs: Drs. N. Arnepalli, E. Safari. P. Yang

PhD Students: M. Chappel, A. Rentz

MSc Students: L. Ashe, R. Beddoe, L. Bostwick

Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

Terrafix Geosynthetics Naue GmbH

Solmax/GSE International **Rick Thiel** comments in this lecture are those of the speaker and are not necessarily shared by any of those listed above

References – Leakage and Overlaps

Beck, A. (2015) "Available Technologies to Approach Zero Leaks", Geosynthetics 2015, Polrtland, 10pp Brachman, R.W.I., Rowe, R.K. and Take, W.A. (2018) "Reductions in GCL overlap beneath an exposed geomembrane" ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, (In press)

- Brachman, R.W.I, Joshi, P., and Rowe, R.K. (2016) "Hydraulic performance of overlapped geosynthetic clay liner seams requiring field-applied supplemental bentonite" ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 142 (12): 4016067-1 to 11; DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001566
- Giroud, J.P. (2016) "Leakage Control using Geomembrane Liners", Soils and Rocks 39(3): 213-235. Joshi, P., Brachman, R.W.I and Rowe, R.K. (2017) "Hydraulic performance of GCL seams without field-applied supplemental bentonite below a geomembrane winkle", ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 143(9): 04017086-1 to 10, doi/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001756 Joshi, P., Rowe, R.K. and Brachman, R.W.I (2017) "Hydraulic performance of GCL seams with factory-applied powered bentonite below a geomembrane wrinkle" Environmental Geotechnics, http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/jenge.16.00027
- Rowe, R.K., Brachman, R.W.I. and Take, W.A. (2018) "Field measurements of overlap reductions for two reinforced fabric-encased GCLs" Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 55(5): 631-639. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cgi-2017-0375</u>



References - Winkles

- Chappel, M.J., Brachman, R.W.I., Take, W.A. and Rowe, R.K. (2012). "Large-scale quantification of wrinkles in a smooth, black, HDPE geomembrane", ASCE J. Goetech. Geoenvir. Eng., 138 (6): 671-679. Chappel, M.J., Rowe, R.K., Brachman, R.W.I. and Take, W.A. (2012). "A comparison of geomembrane wrinkles for nine field cases", Geosynthetics International, 19(6): 453-469.
- Winkles for hits here to be to be a set of the set o Rowe, R.K. (1998). "Geosynthetics and the minimization of contaminant migration through barrier systems beneath solid waste", 6th International Conference on Geosynthetics, Atlanta, March, 1, pp. 27-103.
- towe, R.K. (2005). "Long-term performance of contaminant barrier systems", 45th Rankine Lecture, Geotechnique, 55(9):631-678.
- we, R.K (2012). "Short and long-term leakage through composite liners", The 7th Arthur Casagrande Lecture", Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 49(2): 141-169. https://doi.org/10.1139/t11-092 ve, R.K. (2018) "Protecting the environment with geosynthetics: Successes and challenges", 53rd Karl Terzaghi Lecture, paper in preparation
- Very 2011 Cectors, paper in preparation (wwe, R.K., Chappel, M.J., Brachman, R.W.I. and Take, W.A. (2012). "Field monitoring of geomembrane wrinkles at a composite liner test site", Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 49(10): 1196-1211.
 Vowe, R.K., Yang, P., Chappel, M.J., Brachman, R.W.I. and Take, W.A. (2012). "Wrinkling of a geomembrane on a compacted day liner on a slope", Geotechnical Engineering, Journal of the South East Asian Geotechnical Society, 43(3): 11-18.

Take, W.A., Chappel, M.J., Brachman, R.W.I. and Rowe, R.K. (2007). "Quantifying geomembrane wrin using aerial photography and digital image processing", Geosynthetics International, 14(4) 219-227

References- Down-slope Erosion

- Brachman, R.W.I., Rentz, A., Rowe, R.K. and Take W.A.(2015) "Classification and quantification of downslope erosion from a GCL when covered only by a black geomembrane", Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 52(4): 395-412 (10.1139/cgj-2014-0241)
- entz, A., Take W.A., Brachman, R.W.I. and Rowe, R.K., (2016) "Effect of geomembrane colour and cover soil on solar-driven down slope bentonite erosion from a GCL", Geosynthetics International, 23(4): 257– 270. http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/jgein.15.00050
- Rowe, R.K., Ashe, L.E., Take W.A., and Brachman, R.W.I. (2014) "Factors affecting the down-slope erosion of bentonite in a GCL", Geotextiles and Geomembranes, 42(5): 445-456 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geotexmem.2014.07.002
- we, R.K., Brachman, R.W.I, Take, W.A. Rentz, A. and Ashe, L.E., (2016) "Field and laboratory observations of down-slope bentonite migration in exposed composite liners", Geotextiles and Geomembranes, 44(5): 686-706, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geotexmem.2016.05.004</u>
- Rowe, R.K., Rentz, A., Brachman, R.W.I. and Take W.A.(2016) "Effect of GCL type on down-slope bentonite erosion in an exposed liner", ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 142(12) 4016074-1 to 10; DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001565
- Take, W.A., Brachman, R.W.I., and Rowe, R.K. (2015). "Observations of bentonite erosion from solar-driver moisture migration in GCLs covered only by a black geomembrane", Geosynthetics International, 22(1): 78-92
- Take, W.A., Rowe, R.K. Brachman, R.W.I., and Amepalli, D.N. (2015). "Thermal exposure conditions for a composite liner with a black geomembrane exposed to solar radiation", Geosynthetics International, 22(1): 93-109.